ADDEUNDUM #2 FITZPATRICK ROAD CULVERT REPLACEMENT December 13, 2024

The number of this Addendum, Addendum No. 2, must be entered in the space provided on the Bid Form.

CONTRACTOR QUESTIONS:

Question 1:

Q: Is there a local laydown area that the Contractor can use for storage during construction?

A: The Town of Grafton has some local areas that the Contractor may use for temporary storage/laydown. This will be coordinated with the Contractor following award of the project. The Contractor will be responsible for restoring all laydown areas used to the pre-construction condition following use.

Question 2:

Q: Please clarify Note #5 on Sheet 8 pertaining to Item 634.1 – Thrie Beam Guard Panel. MassDOT Specifications for double nesting thrie beam utilizes 12-gauge panels not 10-gauge panels for their reinforced guardrail at bridge connections and hazardous conditions. Typically when 10-gauge panel is specified, it would not be double nested. Double nesting 10-gauge thrie beam panels would not nest together such that splice pattern holes will line up.

A: As the thrie beam is proposed to be double nested for this project, the panels shall be fabricated using 12-gauge steel. The use of 10-gauge panels is typical in this application when not double nesting. Note #5 on Sheet 8 shall be revised as shown below.

5. THRIE BEAM GUARDRAIL STEEL SHALL BE GALVANIZED AND CONFORM TO THE AASHTO M180, CLASS B, TYPE IV AND SHALL BE 10 GAGE THICK. USE OF 12 GAGE THICK THRIE BEAM IS EXPRESSLY FORBIDDEN.

12 GAUGE THICK.

Question 3:

Q: The bridge plan view on sheet 4 points to 36" of riprap inside the culvert, in front of the inside walls; this same hatching is shown within the plan views on sheets 1 and 9A as well. However, all the bridge elevations/sections shown on sheets 1, 4, and 7 only show 48" of streambed restoration inside of the culvert. Please clarify if 36" of riprap is required inside the culvert, and if so, please provide a detail.

A: The riprap inside the culvert is intended to be paid for under Item 983.521 Streambed Restoration and should have a total thickness of 4'-0". The 36" riprap thickness is meant to be related to the riprap slopes around the wingwalls.

Question 4:

Q: In reference to bid Item 852 Safety Signing for Traffic Management, should this unit of measure be Square Feet and not Square Yards?

A: The unit of measure for Item 852 Safety Signing for Traffic Management should be Square Feet. An updated Bid Form is attached to this Addendum, See Exhibit A.

Question 5:

Q: Please provide an item for micro-milling the pavement transitions.

A: Item 415.3 Pavement Micro Milling has been added to the bid form.

Question 6:

Q: It appears there will be excess material under Item 143 Channel Excavation. What are the requirements for disposal, and please provide a disposal item if necessary.

A: Costs associated with disposal of excess channel material that is not used for Item 983.521 Streambed Restoration will be incidental to Item 143 Channel Excavation. Disposal of excess materials shall be disposed of in accordance with MassDOT Standard Specifications and Engineering Directives.

Question 7:

Q: Regarding Item 140 Bridge Excavation, please provide pay limits to clarify what work is included in the 3,200-cy bid quantity.

A: Pay limits for Item 140 Bridge Excavation include excavation required for the structure replacement below the road subgrade including, but not limited to, the culvert, footings and wingwalls.

Question 8:

Q: Bid item for Balance Stone Wall Removed and Reset is 391., Special Provision says its 691. (Which is the correct DOT Item Number) can this be fixed?

A: The correct Item is Item 691 Balance Stone Wall Removed and Rebuilt.

Question 9:

Q: Confirm there are no plantings to be installed in the inland wetland restoration? If so, can a list of the required plants with quantities of each be provided?

A: No specific plantings are required per the Contract unless directed by the Wetland Specialist or by the Conservation Commission to achieve 75% cover of wetland plant species.

Question 10:

Q: What flow rate was used to design the by-pass 6" pump shown on the dewatering plan?

A: The Control of Water plan provided in the Plans is conceptual only and it is the Contractor's responsibility to submit the proposed methods and means for Control of Water for review and approval by TEC. The 6" bypass pump shown on the plans is intended to handle normal existing flow while the 42" bypass pipe shown on Sheet 9A is intended to handle the 2-year storm flow of 52 cfs. The 52 cfs flow is shown in the Temporary Coated Fabric Steel Frame Cofferdam shown on Sheet 9A.

Question 11:

Q: What criteria will be used to review the contractors dewatering plan?

A: The dewatering plan will be reviewed against the requirements and intent of criteria indicated in Item 991.1 CONTROL OF WATER STRUCTURE NO. G-08-061(C97).

Question 12:

Q: Please confirm that the engineer of record will handle the "Letter from a Massachusetts registered Professional Engineer" or "As-Builts" for number 28 section II and III on page 4 of 4 in the Wetlands Permit.

A: Yes, TEC will work with the Town and provide the PE stamped certificate of compliance required in the OOC. The "as-builts" will be coordinated between TEC and the Contractor throughout construction and can consist of red-line markups gathered during construction.

Question 13:

Q: Geotechnical report section 5.10 – Clearing and Stripping operations, makes mention of deeper organic layers may be encountered and having to remove those. If the contractor must remove these organic layers and there will be design changes needed to SOE beyond what are show on the plans, will the contractor be compensated for additional costs via change order?

A: TEC anticipates that full removal of the organic layers will be removed during excavation based on review of the boring logs and proposed bottom of footing elevations. If during the excavation process it is determined that the organic layers extend down far enough that the proposed footings would be bearing on them, an RFI with appropriate SK's will be provided to direct the contractor on required changes. Compensation for additional costs may be considered pending direction provided in the RFI and SK's and with the approval of the Town.

Question 14:

Q: Geotechnical report 5.20 – Construction Dewatering Operations says the following "The use of crushed stone material as a subgrade stabilization layer below the structure foundations should be avoided to mitigate potential seepage flow through the stone layer." Plan sheets show crushed stone under bridge foundation under the footings. Please clarify.

A: The use of crushed stone was discussed with both MassDOT and Miller Engineering & Testing and it was determined the crushed stone was acceptable for this project as the proposed structure does not include a detention/retention basin immediately upstream of the culvert.

Question 15:

Q: Geotechnical report makes mention of keeping Miller Engineering and Testing, Inc. services on during the construction for the culvert excavation and foundation work. Will the town be responsible for hiring MET, Inc.?

A: TEC will be providing part-time construction oversight throughout the duration of construction and will coordinate any issues observed during construction with Miller Engineering and Testing.

Question 16:

Q: General conditions, 4.06 Hazadous Environmental Condition at Site, C states "contractor shall not be responsible for any Hazardous Environmental condition uncovered or revealed at the site which was not show or indicate in drawings or specifications or identified in contract documents to be within the scope of the work." Please confirm any hazardous environmental condition encountered during construction will be paid as extra work to cover any additional costs.

A: Any hazardous environmental conditions encountered during construction will be outside the lump sum scope of work and will be addressed through change orders pending approval by the Town of Grafton.

Question 17:

Q: Please confirm no analytical data testing was performed on existing soils during borings or test pits?

A: Per 3.10 of the Geotechnical Report, grain size analysis was conducted on two split-spoon samples. These can be found in Attachment C of the geotechnical report.

Question 18:

Q: Please confirm no analytical data testing was performed on existing soils during borings or test pits? If yes, please provide the testing data.

A: Per 3.10 of the Geotechnical Report, grain size analysis was conducted on two split-spoon samples.

Question 19:

Q: Is MassDOT Engineering Directive P-22-001 issued 9/23/2022 establishing procedures for stockpiling soils incorporated into this project through this project's references to MassDOT standards?

A: Yes, construction shall be completed in compliance with all MassDOT standard specifications and engineering directives. An off-site stockpile storage location will be coordinated by the Town with the Contractor for a nearby location within the Town of Grafton limits.

Question 20:

Q: Grafton Wetland Permit, page 2 of 4 under Conditions During Construction #18 states "Materials shall be stockpiled as far from the one hundred (100) foot buffer zone and riverfront area as possible" As there is no area within the project limits that is beyond 100 feet from there riverfront area, will the contractor be allowed to stockpile material on site? Will the Contractor be allowed to remove material from the site without prior testing of the soils for hazardous/environmental materials?

A: Yes, limited stockpiling will be allowed at the project site. The Town of Grafton will also work with the Contractor to provide nearby laydown and stockpiling area. Removed material may be stockpiled at the nearby laydown area prior to testing the soils. Any removed material not stockpiled at either the site or at the nearby laydown/stockpile area shall be tested in accordance with MassDOT Engineering Directive P-22-001.

PLAN HOLDER LIST:

COMPANY
MAS Building & Bridge, Inc.
Northern Construction Service, LLC
SumCo Eco-Contracting, LLC
J.L. Raymaakers and Sons, Inc.
Macura Excavating
Ferreira Construction Co., Inc.
A.F. Amorello & Sons, Inc.
R. Zoppo Corp.
Baystate Shotcrete
Kodiak Corporation
Baltazar Contractors, Inc.
Projectdog, Inc.
Dodge Construction Network
Laminated Concepts Industries
D'Allessandro Corp.
T. Miozzi, LLC
Construct Connect
Ricon Construction
Foulsham Corp.
Kenefick Corp.
Bridge Rubber Bearing, LLC
Alamo Colleges District Purchasing AVING
J.H. Lynch & Sons, Inc.
21st Century Concrete
Aqualine Utility, Inc.
Haulch Water Contracting, Inc.
K5 Corporation
William P. Davis Excavation, LLC
Blue Diamond Equipment Co.
Petroleum Engineering, Inc.
E.T.&L. Corp.
R. Bates & Sons, Inc.
P.W. Brown, Inc.
John Rocchio Corporation
On Point Construction Services
Suchocki & Son, Inc.
Western Earthworks, LLC
K.R. Rezendes, Inc.

Exhibit A - Bid Form

TOWN OF GRAFTON

FITZPATRICK ROAD CULVERT REPLACEMENT

FORM OF GENERAL BID

Proposal of	(hereinafter called "Bidder"), organized
and existing under the laws of the State	(hereinafter called "Bidder"), organized e of, doing business as
*, to Town Providence Road, Grafton, Massachusetts (of Grafton, Grafton Memorial Municipal Center, 30
Trovidence Road, Granton, Massachusetts (Tieremaner cance owner j.
	for FITZPATRICK ROAD CULVERT REPLACEMENT, nents, within the time set forth therein, and at the prices
certifies as to his own organization, that	tifies, and in the case of a joint Bid each party thereto this Bid has been arrived at independently, without as to any matter relating to this Bid with any other Bidder
	nder this Contract on or before a date to be specified in he project within the specified time period in accordance
Bidder acknowledges receipt of the following	g Addenda:

BID FORM Page 1 of 8

*Insert a "Corporation", a "a Partnership", or "an Individual" as applicable.

BID SCHEDULE

Bidder agrees to perform all the work described in the Contract Documents for the following unit prices or lump sums. This proposal shall be filled in by the Bidder in ink, with the unit prices written in words and numerals and the extensions shown in the "Amount" column. For complete information concerning these items, see the Specifications.

ITEM	QTY	ITEM WITH LINIT DID DDICE WRITTEN IN WORDS	UNIT PRIC	E	TOTAL	
NO.	QIY	ITEM WITH UNIT BID PRICE WRITTEN IN WORDS	DOLLARS	CENTS	DOLLARS	CENTS
		CLEARING AND GRUBBING				
101.	0.2	ATACRES				
		EARTH EXCAVATION				
120.	200	AT				
		AT				
		UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION				
120.1	20	ΔΤ				
		AT				
		BRIDGE EXCAVATION				
140.	3200	A.T.				
		ATCY				
		CHANNEL EXCAVATION				
143.	200					
		AT				
		GRAVEL BORROW				
151.	15					
		AT				
		GRAVEL BORROW FOR BACKFILLING STRUCTURES AND PIPES				
151.2	185					
101.2	100	ATCY				
		CONTROLLED DENSITY FILL – NON-EXCAVATABLE				
153.1	15					
100.1	15	AT				
		CRUSHED STONE				
156.	110					
130.	110	AT				
		TON FINE GRADING AND COMPACTING – SUBGRADE AREA				
470	405	T INC GRADING AND CONFACTING - SUBGRADE AREA				
170.	165	AT				
		SY				
		DENSE GRADED CRUSHED STONE FOR SUB-BASE				
402.	6	AT				
		CY				

B-BASE			
CARRIE	ED FORWARD		

BROUGHT FORWARD_____

ITEM	QTY	ITEM WITH HAIT BID BRICE WRITTEN IN WORDS	UNIT PRIC	CE	TOTAL	
NO.	QIY	ITEM WITH UNIT BID PRICE WRITTEN IN WORDS	DOLLARS	CENTS	DOLLARS	CENTS
		PAVEMENT MICRO MILLING				
415.3	50	AT				
		SY WATER FOR ROADWAY DUST CONTROL				
4.40		WATER FOR ROADWAY DOST CONTROL				
443.	4	AT				
		MGAL				
450.00		SUPERPAVE SURFACE COURSE – 12.5 (SSC – 12.5)				
450.23.	30	AT TON				
		SUPERPAVE INTERMEDIATE COURSE – 12.5 (SIC – 12.5)				
450.31	45	AT				
		TON				
		ASPHALT EMULSION FOR TACK COAT				
452.	40	AT				
		GAL				
		HMA JOINT SEALANT				
453.	90	AT				
		SAWCUTTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT				
482.3	86	AT				
		FT				
		GUARDRAIL, TL-2 (SINGLE FACED)				
620.12	200	AT				
		FT				
		TRAILING ANCHORAGE				
627.1	2	AT				
		EACH				
		GUARDRAIL TANGENT END TREATMENT, TL-2				
627.82	2	AT				
		EACH				
		TRANSITION TO THRIE BEAM				
628.25	4					
		EACH				
		HIGHWAY GUARD REMOVED AND DISCARDED				
630.2	280	AT				
		FT FT				
		GUARDRAIL POST – STEEL				
632.	13	AT				
		AT EACH				
	1	1.1011		I .		1

CARRIE	FORWARD		

BROUGHT FORWARD_____

ITEM	OTV	ITEM WITH LINIT DID DDIOE WDITTEN IN WODDO	UNIT PRI	CE	TOTAL	
NO.	QTY	ITEM WITH UNIT BID PRICE WRITTEN IN WORDS	DOLLARS	CENTS	DOLLARS	CENTS
		THRIE BEAM GUARD PANEL				
634.1	18	AT				
		EACH				
		BALANCE STONE WALL REMOVED AND REBUILT				
691.	85	AT				
		FLOATING SILT FENCE				
697.2	20					
		AT				
		GEOTEXTILE FABRIC FOR STABILIZATION				
698.1	80	AT				
		SY				
		GEOTEXTILE FOR PERMANENT EROSION CONTROL				
698.4	170	AT				
7.40		MOBILIZATION				
748.	1	AT				
		LS LOAM BORROW				
751.	40					
701.	10	40 AT				
		INLAND WETLAND REPLICATION AREA				
755.35	1	AT				
		AT				
		WETLAND SPECIALIST				
755.75	50	AT				
		HR				
		WETLANDS MONITORING REPORTS				
755.76	1	AT				
		LS				
705	0.40	SEEDING				
765.	240	AT				
		SY SEDIMENT CONTROL BARRIER				
767.121	370					
		AT				
		STRAW MULCH				
767.31	240	AT				
		SY				

BID FORM

Page 4 of 8

CARRIED	FORWARD	 	

00 41 13

BROUGHT FORWARD_____

ITEM	QTY	ITEM WITH HAIT DID DDICE WRITTEN IN WORDS	UNIT PRI	CE	TOTAL	
NO.	QIY	ITEM WITH UNIT BID PRICE WRITTEN IN WORDS	DOLLARS	CENTS	DOLLARS	CENTS
		PAVEMENT MILLING MULCH UNDER GUARDRAIL				
769.	168	AT				
		FT				
		DEMOUNTABLE REFLECTORIZED DELINEATOR - GUARDRAIL				
833.5	9	AT				
		EACH				
		DELINEATION FOR GUARDRAIL TERMINI				
833.7	4	AT				
		EACH				
		ROADWAY FLAGGER				
850.41	80	AT				
		HR				
		SAFETY SIGNING FOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT				
852.	164	4.7				
		AT SF				
		PORTABLE BREAKAWAY BARRICADE TYPE III				
853.1	2					
000.1	_	AT EACH				
		TEMPORARY BARRIER		+		
853.2	50					
003.2	30	AI				
		FT RIPRAP				
		RIFRAF				
983.1	90	AT				
		TON				
		STREAMBED RESTORATION				
983.521	50	AT				
		CY				
		MODIFIED ROCKFILL				
986.2	40	AT				
		CY				
		CONTROL OF WATER – STRUCTURE NO. G-08-061 (C97)				
991.1	1	AT				
		BRIDGE STRUCTURE, BRIDGE NO. G-08-061 (C97)				
995.01	1	AT				
		LS LS				
		POLICE DETAILS				
999.01	1	ATTWENTY THOUSAND	\$20,000	00	\$20,000	00
		ALLOWANCE				

CARRIED FORWARD	

ITEM	NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION AND	PRICE
1.		Base Bid - Supply all mater in the Contract Documents.	ials, equipment and labor to complete the work as defined. The lump sum of:
		Base Bid Dollars (Numerals	S)
		Base Bid Dollars (Words)	
Note:			
1.	•		ords and numerals. In the event of a discrepancy between numerals, the words shall govern.
2.		ces shall be typewritten or wid the bid.	ritten by hand in ink. Interlineation, alteration or erasure
Respe	ectfully	submitted:	
		Signature	
			Date
		Title	_
		Company	_
		Address	_
	City, S	State, Zip	_ (Seal - if Bid is by a Corporation)
Attest			

The full names and residences of all persons interested in this Bid as principals are as follows: (In case of Corporation, include and identify President, Treasurer, Secretary, and Manager).

ATTACHMENT A

CERTIFICATE OF NON-COLLUSION

The undersigned certifies under penalties of perjury that this bid or proposal has been made and submitted in good faith and without collusion or fraud with any person. As used in this certification, the "person" shall mean any natural person, business, partnership, corporation, union, committee, club, or other organization, entity, or group of individuals.

Signature of person signing bid or proposal
Print Name
Title
Name of Organization/Business
 Date

ATTACHMENT B

CERTIFICATE OF TAX COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Massachusetts General Law, Chapter 62C, Section 49A(b):

The undersigned certifies under the pains and penalties of perjury that said property owner has complied with all laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the Town of Grafton and is current with all local, state, and federal taxes and other assessments including child support payments as required under the law.

Signature of person signing bid or proposa
Print Name
Title
Name of Organization/Business
Federal Identification Number: 04- or TIN
 Date